

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/797,588	03/11/2004	Donald L. Schilling	LINX35US	4722
DAVID NEWMAN CHARTERED P.O. Box 956			EXAMINER KIM, KEVIN	
Indian Head, MD 20640		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2611	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MO	NTHS	03/27/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		T 0 70 00					
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/797,588	SCHILLING, DON	ALD L.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Kevin Y. Kim	2611				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	cation appears on the cover	sheet with the correspondence ac	Idress			
WHIC - External after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAN IS LONGER, FROM THE MAN IS CONTROL OF THE MAN IS C	AILING DATE OF THIS CO f 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, howe inication. utory period will apply and will expire rill, by statute, cause the application to	MMUNICATION. Ever, may a reply be timely filed  SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this of the become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed	l on <u>11 <i>March 2004</i></u> .					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2	b)⊠ This action is non-fina	al.				
3)□	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	ion of Claims						
4)⊠ 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□	Claim(s) 1-34 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-34 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restrict in the ap 4 is/are pending in the ap 4 is/are pen	e withdrawn from consider					
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on 11 March 200 Applicant may not request that any object Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the oath or declaration is objected to	$\frac{4}{2}$ is/are: a) $\boxed{\Delta}$ accepted or ion to the drawing(s) be held the correction is required if the	in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). e drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 Cl	FR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority of Certified copies of the priority of Some * c)  3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internation of See the attached detailed Office actions	ocuments have been rece locuments have been rece f the priority documents ha al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2	ived. ived in Application No ve been received in this National (a)).	Stage			
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		Interview Summary (PTO-413)				
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application				

Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_.

6) Other: \_\_\_\_.

Application/Control Number: 10/797,588

Art Unit: 2611

## DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

## Double Patenting

1. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

2. Claims 1-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-34 of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,269,092. This is a double patenting rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin Y. Kim whose telephone number is 571-272-3039. The examiner can normally be reached on 8AM --5PM M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jay Patel can be reached on 571-272-2988. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

KEVIN KIM
PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER

1L. /lu 3/23/07